

**Drain:** ME SCHERER **Drain #:** 18  
**Improvement/Arm:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Operator:** J. W. V. G. STON **Date:** 6-3-04  
**Drain Classification:** Urban/Rural **Year Installed:** 1882

### GIS Drain Input Checklist

- Pull Source Documents for Scanning
- Digitize & Attribute Tile Drains
- Digitize & Attribute Storm Drains
- Digitize & Attribute SSD
- Digitize & Attribute Open Ditch
- Stamp Plans
- Sum drain lengths & Validate
- Enter Improvements into Posse
- Enter Drain Age into Posse
- Sum drain length for Watershed in Posse
- Check Database entries for errors

*[Handwritten signature]*  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
*[Handwritten signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*[Handwritten signature]*

**Gasb 34 Footages for Historical Cost  
Drain Length Log**

Drain-Improvement: ME SCHERER

Drain Type:	Size:	Length	Length (DB Query)	Length Reconcile	If Applicable	
					Price:	Cost:
TILE	15"	900'	900'		5. <sup>00</sup> /lf	4500. <sup>00</sup>
	16"	3954'	3954'		5. <sup>50</sup> /lf	21747. <sup>00</sup>
	18"	16'	16'		6. <sup>50</sup> /lf	104. <sup>00</sup>
OPEN DITCH	—	695'	695'		19. <sup>50</sup> /lf	13,587. <sup>25</sup>
TILE - ARM 1	2 x 7"	1023'	1023'		2. <sup>00</sup> /lf	4,092. <sup>00</sup>
TILE - ARM 2	7"	865'	865'		2. <sup>00</sup> /lf	1730. <sup>00</sup>

Sum: 7453'    7453'    \$45,760.<sup>25</sup>

Final Report: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments:

---



---



---



---



---

No 5301

Report of Commissioners.

FAVORABLE.

Peter Bryan  
Dutch

Filed \_\_\_\_\_, 188

Clerk Circuit Court.

Wm. E. Burford, Printer, Indianapolis.

## REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS.

To the Honorable Judge of the Hamilton Circuit Court:

The undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, to whom was referred the petition of Peter Bryan for the drainage of 12 acres in the North East corner of the South West quarter of the ~~South~~ east quarter of section 24 Township 20 North Range 4 East, being the North half of all that part of the South West quarter of the South East quarter of said section lying East of the Indianapolis and Chicago R.R.

in Hamilton County, respectfully report that they have inspected personally all the lands described in said petition, and all other lands liable to be affected by the construction of the proposed work, and are of the opinion that the proposed drainage will improve the public health of that neighborhood and will be of public utility in the county and that the same can be accomplished at an expense less than the aggregate benefits to the lands to be affected thereby and after causing the necessary surveys and examinations to be made they determined that the method of drainage should be as follows to wit,

See page 4 of this report.

They estimate the cost of constructing the work at Six hundred and twelve  $\frac{75}{100}$  dollars. They further report that they have estimated the benefits and injury

to the several tracts of land which will be affected by the work, as follows:

OWNERS.	DESCRIPTION.	S.	T.	R.	Acres.	Injury.	Benefit.
Peter Bryan	N 1/2 of that part of the S. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 lying East of the Indianapolis Penn Chicago R. R.	24	20	4	12 1/2		\$90
John C. Kinder	N. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4	24	20	4	40		200
John C. Kinder	E 1/2 E. 1/2 N. E. 1/4 S. W. 1/4	24	20	4	10		30
Henry Miller	S. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4	24	20	4	40		10
Henry Miller	S. E. 1/4 N. E. 1/4 S. E. 1/4	24	20	4	20		20
Hesekiah Kinder	S. 1/2 of that part of the S. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 lying East of the Indianapolis Penn Chicago R. R.	24	20	4	12 1/2		75
Isaac Kinder	S. 1/2 of that part of S. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 lying West of the Indianapolis Penn Chicago R. R.	24	20	4	5 1/2		15
Margaret Wilson	N. 1/2 of that part of S. W. 1/4 S. E. 1/4 lying West of the Indianapolis Penn Chicago R. R.	24	20	4	5 1/2		30
Margaret Wilson	N. 1/2 14 3/4 acres off of the East side of the S. E. 1/4 S. W. 1/4	24	20	4	7 3/8		30
Isaac Kinder	S. 1/2 14 3/4 acres off of the East side of the S. E. 1/4 S. W. 1/4	24	20	4	7 3/8		15

All of which is respectfully submitted.

James A. McMillan

Commissioners.

Owners	Descriptions	Section	Range	Acres	Injury	Benefit
Isaac Kinder	down 30 rods East of the N.W. cor S. E. gr. S. W. gr run S. 41 rods 5 inches E. 19 1/2 rods N. 41 rods 5 inches W. 19 1/2 rods to begin	24	20 4	5		\$ £
Margaret Wilson	down at the N.E. cor. of above described tract belonging to <del>Margaret</del> Isaac Kinder run S. 20 1/2 rods S. 42 rods 15 ft 3 in W. 20 1/2 rods N. 42 rods 15 ft 3 in to begin			5 1/2		40 = 150
Isaac Kinder	down at the S.E. cor. of above described tract belonging to Margaret Wilson run S. 37 rods 1 foot 3 inches W. 20 1/2 rods N. 37 rods 1 foot 3 inches E. 20 1/2 rods to begin			4 1/2		15
Hesekiah Kinder	down at the S.W. cor. of the last described tract run W. 21 3/4 rods N. 38 rods 16 ft 1 inch E. 21 3/4 rods S. 38 rods 16 ft 1 inch to begin			5 30/100		13 = 90
Isaac Kinder	down at the S.W. cor. of the last described tract run W. 17 3/4 rods N. 21 rods 12 ft 2 inches E. 17 3/4 rods S. 21 rods 12 ft 2 inches to begin			2 1/2		10 = 61
Isaac Marty	S. E. gr. N. W. gr	24	20 4	40		£0
Isaac Marty	All that part of the S.W. gr. E. gr lying West of the Indianapolis R.R. & Chicago R.R.	24	20 4	5		10 = 7
Wm. Nagle	down 20 rods West of the North East corner of the South West quarter of run S. 23 1/2 rods W. 46 rods N. 28 1/2 rods E. 46 rods to begin	24	20 4	6 3/4		20
James Carter jr	down 20 rods West of the South East corner of the North East quarter of the South West quarter run N. 56 rods W. 46 rods S. 56 rods E. 46 rods to begin	24	20 4	16 1/4		15
James Carter sr	19 acres off of the South end of that part of the S.W. gr. N. E. gr lying East of the Indianapolis R.R. & Chicago R.R.	24	20 4	19		75
A. W. Bowers	N. E. gr N. E. gr	25	20 4	40		3
Thomas Carter	All that part of the N.W. gr N. E. gr lying East of the Indianapolis R.R. & Chicago R.R.	25	20 4	7 1/4		3

By the construction of a ditch commencing  
42 rods West of the North East corner of the  
North West quarter of the South East quarter of section  
24 Township 20 North Range 4 East in Hamilton  
County and running thence S. 31° W. 1600 feet, thence  
S. 26° W. 900 feet, thence S. 55° W. 300 feet, thence  
S. 19° W. 280 feet and terminating 60 rods West of the  
South East corner of the South West quarter of  
section 24 Township 20 North Range 4 East,

Also by the construction of Arroyo No 1 to said  
ditch commencing 150 feet east of the Indianapolis  
Peru and Chicago R. R. on the line separating the  
land of Peter Bryan and Heskiah Kuller in  
the South West quarter of the South East quarter of  
section 24 Township 20 North Range 4 East  
and running N. 60° W. 200 feet, thence N. 71½° W. 300  
feet, thence West 523 feet and terminating in the  
main ditch 45 feet below Stake 20 on said  
main ditch.

Also by the construction of Arroyo No 2 com-  
mencing 22 rods West of the North East corner of  
the South West quarter of section 24 Township 20  
North Range 4 East and running thence S. 57° E,  
200 feet, thence S. 44° E, 600 feet, thence S. 8° E, 65 feet  
and terminating in the main ditch at stake 7

They also determined that said main ditch  
should be tiled with one tier of 7 inch tile  
from the beginning of said ditch to 600 feet  
from said beginning and with two tier of 7 inch  
tile from 600 feet from the beginning of said  
ditch to 700 feet from said beginning, and  
with three tier of seven inch tile from  
700 feet from the beginning of said ditch

to 2045 from said beginning and that the remainder of said ditch should be an open ditch as hereinafter provided,

That Arm No. 1 of said ditch should be tiled with two tiers of seven inch tile, and Arm No. 2 with one tier of seven inch tile,

The following is a tabular statement of the depths of cut and widths at the bottom and at the top of said ditch at the beginning and the terminus and at the end of each 100 feet thereof and also the number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from each section of 100 feet, the estimated cost per cubic yard of removing the same, the cost per section, the total number of cubic yards of to be removed from said ditch, and the total cost of removing the same.

Station	Depth of cut at bottom	Depth of cut at top	Width at bottom	Width at top	Cubic yards removed	Cost per cubic yard	Cost per section
15	3.1	2.5	2.5	3.0	122	3.75	375
16	3.2	2.5	2.5	3.0	11	3.75	375
17	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	11	3.75	375
18	4.4	2.5	2.5	3.4	11	4.25	425
19	4.5	2.5	2.5	4.0	11	5.00	500
20	4.1	2.5	2.5	4.0	11	5.00	500
21	3.8	1.0	2.5	6.0	11	7.50	750
22	3.7	1.0	8.4	6.8	11	8.50	850
23	2.8	1.0	6.6	5.0	11	6.25	625
24	4.0	1.5	1.5	1.8	11	6.75	675
25	3.4	2.5	2.5	3.2	11	7.00	700
26	4.0	2.5	2.5	3.4	11	6.75	675
27	4.2	2.5	2.5	3.8	11	8.00	800
28	3.3	1.0	7.6	5.6	11	7.00	700
29	4.2	1.0	9.4	6.4	11	8.00	800
30	3.4	1.0	7.8	6.8	11	8.50	850
31	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.8	11	2.25	225
					322		\$40,261
						816	\$10,200



Arm No 1	Arm No 2
0 3.0 1.5 1.5	0 3.0 1.5 1.5
1 3.7 1.5 1.5 2.0 12.5 <del>2.50</del>	1 3.1 1.5 1.5 1.2 12.5 <del>2.50</del> \$1.50
2 4.4 1.5 1.5 2.2 11 2.75	2 3.0 1.5 1.5 1.2 11 1.50
3 4.3 1.5 1.5 2.4 11 3.00	3 3.0 1.5 1.5 1.2 11 1.50
4 4.1 1.5 1.5 2.2 11 2.75	4 2.9 1.5 1.5 1.0 11 1.25
5 4.9 1.5 1.5 2.6 11 3.25	5 2.9 1.5 1.5 1.0 11 1.25
6 4.0 1.5 1.5 2.6 11 3.25	6 2.9 1.5 1.5 1.0 11 1.25
7 3.9 1.5 1.5 2.0 11 2.50	7 3.3 1.5 1.5 1.2 11 1.50
8 3.3 1.5 1.5 2.0 11 2.50	8 3.0 1.5 1.5 1.2 11 1.50
9 3.3 1.5 1.5 1.8 11 2.25	9 3.3 1.5 1.5 1.0 11 1.00
10 2.4 1.5 1.5 1.6 11 2.00	
11 3.2 1.5 1.5 1.4 11 2.50	
	98 \$12.25
218 \$27.25	

They estimate the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said ditch to be 1454  
 and the total cost of removing the same to be \$181.76  
 cost of 470 rods 7 inch tile 376.00  
 cost of hauling tile 35.00  
 cost of putting in and covering tile 20.00  
 \$642.75

They would further specify that, the <sup>banks of the</sup> open portion of said ditch should be cut smooth and of uniform slope and the earth excavated therefrom be deposited not nearer than two feet from the top of the banks.

31.00  
 5.80  
 25.20

They would further report that the following named persons are entitled to fees for services in the matter as follows, to wit:

Isaac Kinder apman 1 da	\$1.50
Hesekiah Kinder apman 1 da	1.50
Elmer Bryan champion 1 da	1.50
Lully Dickover champion 1 da	1.50

They claim fees for services in the matter as Commissioners of Drainage as follows, to wit:

James A. McMullen as commissioner 4 da	\$12.00
Samuel B. Wells as commissioner 5 da	\$15.00
James Sanders as commissioner 1 da	3.00
James Sanders as engineer 4 da	20.00

All of which is respectfully submitted.

James Sanders  
Samuel B. Wells } Commissioners  
James A. McMullen }

STATE OF INDIANA, )  
County, ) ss:

*We, the undersigned, Commissioners of Drainage, swear that we have each personally examined each tract of land assessed for benefits and damages and the whole route of the proposed work, and that such drain is of sufficient capacity to carry, without overflowing, all the water which flows or should flow along such route, that no other lands than those described in this report will be either benefited or damaged by the construction of the proposed work, and that all the statements therein are true and correct, and that all assessments of benefits and injuries set forth therein are correct, just, fair and equitable to all parties concerned, as we verily believe.*

*James Sanders*  
*James A. McMillan*  
*Samuel B. Wells* } Commissioners.

*Subscribed and sworn to by said Commissioners, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of November, 1887, before me.*

*J. R. Christian.*

Clerk Circuit Court.

REVIEWERS  
REPORT  
OF  
M.E. SCHERER

DITCH.

Report approved by  
the Board of Com of  
Hamilton Co

Nov 7 Reg 1900  
and Reviewers ordered  
to make final  
Report Nov 24, 1900

Samuel Carey P.B.

FILED

NOV 24 1900

CALVIN STURDEVANT  
Aud Hamilton Co

ELL 1312-557 to 557

October Regular Term 1900.  
Commissioners Court

To George Wheeler, John Karger and Henry  
Keiser re-viewers and J. A. Mitchell Engineer.  
You are hereby notified that you were appointed  
by the Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ind.,  
to make a review of a ditch or drain as petitioned  
for by M. E. Scherer Et al. Said proposed ditch  
located as follows, to-wit: Beginning at a  
point in the north gutter line on Broadway  
Avenue, twenty feet south of the S. E. corner  
of lot No 6 in Acadia Improvement Company's  
Addition to Acadia Hamilton County Indiana  
running thence in a general south westerly direction  
following the lowest ground and the line  
of an old public drain known as the "Peter Bryant  
Ditch" as far as practicable and terminating 164 1/2 ft  
west and 60 ft south of the S. E. corner of the N 1/2  
of the NW 1/4 of Sec. 25 - T. 20 North Range 4 East  
in Hamilton County, Ind. Said ditch to be a tile drain  
to a point 140 feet E. of Sec. 25 - township & range above named.  
Also that runs No. 1 and No. 2 of the "Peter Bryant Ditch"  
be connected with & made a part of this drain and  
this drain be constructed to take the place of said Peter  
Bryant Ditch to remain as they are now constructed as  
far as practicable.

You will meet at the place of beginning of said proposed  
ditch or drain on Tuesday the 16 day of Oct. 1900  
at 9 o'clock A. M. thence there be qualified by the engineer  
& proceed with your duties according to law and file  
your report with the Auditor.

Witness my hand & the seal of the Board  
of Commissioners this 9 day of Oct. 1900.  
Calvin Sturdevant  
Auditor Hamilton County

We the undersigned appointed as reviewers  
on the within described ditch do each of us  
solemnly swear that we will perform said duties  
to the best of our knowledge and ability and  
we shall answer to the State of Indiana on  
the charge of perjury

W. H. Kessler

John Harger

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16<sup>th</sup> day  
of October 1900

Debra Wital

Witness to J. A. Wital  
Engineer

REVIEWERS' REPORT OF M. E. SCHERER DITCH.

-----  
-----  
-----

TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA

We, the undersigned, appointed by this Court, at its October Term, 1900, to review a drain described in the certified copy of a petition and order of Court for a Drain, by M. E. Scherer and others, handed us by the Auditor, would represent that according to said order we, on the day named therein, to-wit: The 16th day of October, 1900, in company with J. A. Mitchell, a civil engineer, made an accurate survey of the line of the said proposed Ditch, from its source to its outlet. A plat of the said proposed Ditch is filed herewith, including the survey by the engineer, marked "Exhibit B." We caused stakes to be set along said line, each one hundred feet, numbering down stream, as shown by plat. We also made a computation of the total number of cubic yards of earth to be removed from said drain, and estimated the total cost for the construction of the work at One Thousand and Fifty (\$1050.00) Dollars. We have set out, by a statement below, the names of the owners of the lands benefited, with a description of each tract of land, and the amount assessed against each tract. The depth of cut, the width of bottom, the width of top along drain, is also shown in a tabular statement in this report. After a full and careful review and examination, we are of the opinion that the drain, as located by the survey, will be of public utility and convenience and will benefit several public highways; and that the cost of the construction of said ditch will be less than the benefits to be derived therefrom; and the said drainage can best be accomplished by a tile ditch from stake 0 on main ditch to stake 48+70; and from stake 48+70 to 55+65 by an open ditch; also arms number one and two of what is

part of this drain, both arms being tiled. Beginning at a point in the North gutter line of Broadway Avenue, 20 ft. South of the Southeast corner of Lot NO. 266 in Arcadia Land and Improvement Company Addition to the town of Arcadia, Hamilton County, Indiana, running,

Thence S. 29 $3/4$ ° W. from Station 0 to Station 23+63,	23.63
Thence S. 19 W.° from Station 23+63 to Station 33+11,	9.48
" S. 52 W.° " " 33+11 " " 36,	289
" S. 23 $1/4$ ° " " 36 " " 39+15,	315
" S. 31 W.° " " 39+15 " " 46+90,	7.95
" S. 41 W.° " " 46+90 " " 49+60,	2.70
" S. 30 W.° " " 49+60 " " 52+60,	300
" S. 10 W.° " " 52+60 " " 53+80,	120
" S. 1 W.° " " 53+80 " " 55+65,	1.85

and terminating 16 45 feet West and 60 feet South of the Southeast corner of North  $1/2$  of the N. W.  $1/4$  of Section 25, Township 20 North, Range 4 East in Hamilton County, Indiana.

#### ARM NO. 1.

Commencing 150 ft. East of the L. E. & W. R. R. on the line separating the lands of H. B. Kinder and Mary J. Pettijohn in the S. W.  $1/4$  of the S. E.  $1/4$  of Section 24, Township 20 North, Range 4 East, running thence N. 60 W. 200 ft., thence N. 71  $1/2$ ° W. 300 ft., thence West 523 ft., and terminating in main Ditch.

#### ARM NO. 2.

Commencing 22 rods West of the N. E. corner of the S. W.  $1/4$  of Section 24, Township 20, North Range 4 East, and running thence S. 44° E 600 ft., thence S. 6° E. 65 ft. and terminating in main ditch.

REQUIREMENTS:- Arm NO. 1. consists of two rows of 7 inch tile throughout; the tile are now in place and are to be properly

This copy printed from the Digital Archive of the Hamilton County Surveyor's Office; One Hamilton Co. Square, Ste. 188, Noblesville, In 46060  
connected with main ditch. Arm. No. 2. consist of one row of 7 inch



tile throughout; the tile are now in place and are to be properly connected with main ditch. Main Ditch to consist of one row of 15 inch tile from stake 0 to stake 9, and one row of 16 inch tile from stake 9 to stake 48+54; and one row of 18 inch sewer tile from stake 48-54 to stake 48+70, and a stone retaining wall at station 48+70 in dimensions 2x6x15.

All tile used must be first class in every respect and must be laid in the ditch at the proper grade and in a true line with the joints well matched, and must be left uncovered until inspected by the engineer in charge. After being inspected and accepted by the engineer the tile must be covered by placing the earth excavated in and over the line of the ditch and in such places as the ditch is constructed in an old open channel the banks of said channel must be drawn in so as to cover the tile to a depth of not less than 18 inches in any place.

The stone wall to be placed at station 48+70 to be built of field rock or niger heads laid up in mortar made from one part cement to three parts good sharp sand. The wall to be so constructed as to receive the tile at the proper grade and  $1\frac{1}{2}$  feet above the base of the wall.

The fall of the established grade of the main ditch, or the ditch to be constructed, is thirty one hundredths ( $30/100$ ) feet to the one hundred (100) feet from station 0 to station 8 and fifteen one-hundredths ( $15/100$ ) feet to the one hundred (100) feet from station 8 to station 22, and twenty-five one hundredths ( $25/100$ ) feet to the one hundred (100) feet from station 22 to station 40, and eighty one-hundredths ( $80/100$ ) feet to the one hundred (100) feet from station 40 to station 55 plus 65 or terminus.

For the depths of cut at each station see accompanying

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Am't of Assess't.		TOTALS.	
						Acres.	1/4ths.	Acres.	1/4ths.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
1	M.E. Scherer	NE	NW	25	20	4		40		65 00		90 00	
	"	NE NW	NW	25	20	4		13		20 00			
	"	SE	NW	25	20	4		5		5 00		(89 86)	
2	Susan Scheubach	NE	NE	25	20	4		16		25 00		25 00	(24 40)
3	Thos. Carter	NE	NE	25	20	4		12		15 00		15 00	(14 64)
4	Francis Miller	NW NW NE NE	NE	25	20	4		2 50		2 50		2 50	(2 44)
5	H.B. Kinder	SE	SW	24	20	4		12 50		25 00		40 00	
	"	SE	SW	24	20	4		5		15 00		(39 05)	
6	Isaac W. Kinder	SE	SW	24	20	4		7		17 50		40 75	
	"	SE	SW	24	20	4		7 75		23 25		(39 77)	
7	B.F. Kinder	SE	SW	24	20	4		5		13 75		13 75	(13 55)
8	John L. Kinder	SE	SW	24	20	4		39 40		110 00		134 00	
	"	SE NE	SW	24	20	4		8		24 00		(130 81)	
9	Mary J. Pettigrew	SE	SW	24	20	4		12 50		25 00		25 00	(24 40)
10	L.A. Hollett	SE	SW	24	20	4		16		30 00		30 00	(29 29)
11	James H. Jones	SE	SW	24	20	4		14		28 00		28 00	(27 33)
12	A.E. Martz	SE NE	SW	24	20	4		6 42		14 50		14 50	(14 15)
	"	NE	SW	24	20	4							
13	J.E. Carter	SE	SW	24	20	4		15 25		34 25		34 25	(33 43)
14	Geo. W. Bowser	SE	SW	24	20	4		3		6 00		6 00	(5 85)
15	Ascadia Brick Works	NE	NE	24	20	4		5		10 00		40 00	
	"	NE	NW	24	20	4		15		30 00		(39 05)	
16	Margaret Gascho	SE	SW	24	20	4		8 50		25 50		45 00	

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Am't of Assess't.		TOTALS.	
						Acres.	Halhs.	Acres.	Halhs.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
17	Jackson Township	Highways Howard ave, Arcadia, Ind. Broadway St. Franklin Ave Fayette " East St. Illinois " John " Highway E. & W. bet. <sup>24</sup> / <sub>25</sub> 20 4											
										4 00			
										6 00			
										4 00			
										4 00			
										4 00			
										4 00			
										4 00			
										20 00		50 00	(48 80)
18	Michael Knapp	Lot No. 1962 1/2 " Arcadia Imp Co add.								1 00		1 00	(97)
19	J. K. Ross	" " 1952 1/2 " " "								1 00		1 00	(97)
20	Cleburn S. White	Pl. NE, SW, NE 24 20 4 (Known as Reserves. 4 1/2 x 550 ft. Arcadia Imp Co add)								5 00		5 00	(4 88)
21	Wm. Myers	Lot No. 325 1/2 Arcadia Imp Co add.								3 00		3 00	(2 93)
22	H. D. Martz, et al.	Plout lot 384 1/2 " (450 feet off West end)								36 00		36 00	(35 14)
23	H. D. Martz	Plout lot 6 Carters add								50 00		50 00	(48 80)
24	L. B. Pettijohn	Lot No. 248 1/2 Arcadia Imp Co add.								2 95		2 95	(2 88)
25	J. B. Robinson	" " 328 1/2 " " "								3 00		3 00	(2 93)
26	John Stohr	" " 326 1/2 " " "								3 20		3 20	(3 12)
27	Bert Snowden	" " 264 1/2 " " "								3 50		3 50	(3 41)
28	Charles Hoag	" " 323 1/2 " " "								3 50		3 50	(3 41)
29	Phlegor Smith	" " 321 1/2 " " "								3 50		3 50	(3 41)
30	Frank ...	" " 341 1/2 " " "								2 40		2 40	(2 34)

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Am't of Assess't.		TOTALS.	
						Acres.	Hdths.	Acres.	Hdths.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
31	Ohio Window Glass Co.	Front lot 6 Carters Add.								40	00		
	"	Lot No. 250 Arcadia Imp Co. Add.								3	00		
	"	" " 267								3	00		
	"	" " 318								3	00		
	"	" " 327 ✓								3	00		
	"	PK. NW. 1/4 Sec. 24 20 4						50		35	00	87	00
												(84	73)
32	Ed. H. Myers	Lot No. 330 Arcadia Imp Co. Add.								3	25		
	"	" " 331								3	30		
	"	" " 332								3	50		
	"	" " 329								3	20	13	25
												(12	73)
33	Nelson Merritt	Lot No. 319 Arcadia Imp Co. Add.								3	25	3	25
												(3	17)
34	Samuel Berg	" " 265								3	50	3	50
												(3	41)
35	Charles Bishop	" " 253								2	50	2	50
												(2	44)
36	Anna Crowder	" " 334								3	50	3	50
												(3	41)
37	Amanda D. Eiler	" " 257								2	50	2	50
												(2	45)
38	Asher E. Goodykoontz	" " 246								2	70	2	70
												(2	64)
39	Maggie Greener	" " 256								2	00	2	00
												(1	95)
40	Wm H. Lily	" " 266								3	30	3	30
												(3	22)
41	Samuel A. Martin	" " 248								2	00	2	00
												(1	95)
42	Wm Lawton	Front lot 6 Carters Add.								4	00	4	00
												(3	95)
43	Cleford G. White	Lot No. 251 Arcadia Imp Co. Add.								3	00	3	00
												(2	93)
44	L.E. & D. R.R. Co.	Right of Way thro' NW 1/4 Sec. 24 20 4										66	00
	"	" " " " NE 1/4 Sec. 25 20 4										(64	43)
												198	50

No.	NAMES.	DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.	Section.	Township.	Range.	Acres Assessed.		Acres Benefited.		Am't of Assess'l.		TOTALS.	
						Acres.	Hths.	Acres.	Hths.	\$	Cts.	\$	Cts.
45	Sarah E. Tate	Lot No. 255 - 1 Arcadia Imp Co ad.								2	25	2	25
												(2	20)
46	Clarence E. Wibel	" " 252 "								3	00	3	00
												(2	23)
47	Frank Walz	" " 336 "								3	20	3	20
												(3	12)
48	Fannie Walters	Plot lot. 1 Carters add.								2	00	2	00
												(1	95)
49	Caroline Langoff	" " " 1 "								10	00	10	00
												(9	75)
50	R. W. Bartholomew	" " " 5 "								2	00	2	00
												(1	75)
												22	45
51	Clifton G. White	(Trustee.)											
	+ R. W. Myers	Lot No. 247 Arcadia Imp Co ad.								2	85	2	78
		" " 254 "								2	45		
		" " 258 "								2	95		
		" " 259 "								3	25		
		" " 260 "								3	25		
		" " 261 "								3	30		
		" " 262 "								3	50		
		" " 263 "								3	50		
		" " 268 "								2	70		
	Frank Lee	" " 269 "								2	65	2	59
		" " 270 "								2	50		
		" " 315 "								2	40		
		" " 316 "								2	50		
		" " 317 "								2	55		
	W. C. Kay	" " 320 "								3	50	3	42
		" " 322 "								3	50		
		" " 324 "								3	50		
		" " 325 "								3	30		
		" " 333 "								3	50		
	Julia A. Maltz	" " 335 "								3	40	6	25
	"	" " 337 "								3	00		
		" " 249 "								3	00		
		" " 339 "								2	75		
		" " 340 "								2	50		
	John A. Kinder	Plot lot 254 (100 feet at West End.)								1	00	4	88
										7	7	7	7
										(72-	41)	99	90

PROFILE PAPER.

Main Ditch.

No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Total Cost per Station.	Cost of Excavation	Cost of Laying & Filling	Cost of Ties or Ditch
0	4.00		24in						
1	3.98			29	15 <sup>4</sup>	18 89	4 35	90	13 64
B.M.	7.64	N end window sill west side N window Cabinet							
2	3.58			28		18 74	4 20	90	13 64
3	3.79			27		18 59	4 05	90	13 64
4	3.67			27		18 59	4 05	90	13 64
B.M.	4.04	Elev 32 ft S of Sta 4.							
5	3.94			27		18 59	4 05	90	13 64
6	3.89			28		18 74	4 20	90	13 64
7	4.04			29		18 89	4 35	90	13 64
8	4.17			30		19 04	4 50	90	13 64
B.M.	4.72	Elev							
9	6.80			41		22 25	6 15	90	15 20
10	4.28			41		22 25	6 15	90	15 20
11	4.28			31		20 75	4 65	90	15 20
12	4.58			32		20 90	4 80	90	15 20
13	4.68			33		21 05	4 95	90	15 20
B.M.	9.17	1270 Culvert Post E Side RR track 40 ft 38 14							
14	4.66			35		21 35	5 25	90	15 20
15	5.16			36		21 50	5 40	90	15 20
16	4.59			36		21 50	5 40	90	15 20
17	5.25			36		21 50	5 40	90	15 20
18	5.38			39		21 95	5 85	90	15 20
19	5.32			39		21 95	5 85	90	15 20
20	5.00			38		21 80	5 70	90	15 25
21	5.00			37		21 65	5 55	90	15 25
22	4.97			37		21 65	5 55	90	15 25
23	4.78			37		21 65	5 55	90	15 25
23+63	5.34								
24	5.08			38		21 80	5 70	90	15 25
25	4.79			38		21 80	5 70	90	15 25

# PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Total Cost per Station.	Cost of Excavation.	Cost of Laying & Filling.	Cost of Tile in Ditch.
B.M.	7.17	Rock below 25' W side line of ditch							
26	6.06		24 ins	39	15¢	21 95	5 85	90	15 20
27	5.85		"	44	"	22 70	6 60	90	15 20
28	5.49		"	41	"	22 25	6 15	90	15 20
28+33	1.34								
29	4.87		"	25		19 95	3 75	100	15 20
30	4.83		"	11		17 85	1 65	100	15 20
B.M.	5.31	Rock outside ditch 16 ft W 31							
31	4.48		"	11		17 85	1 65	100	15 20
32	6.06		"	11		17 85	1 65	100	15 20
33	5.36		"	12		18 00	1 80	100	15 20
33+11	4.54		"						
34	4.59		"	12		18 00	1 80	100	15 20
35	4.78		"	12		18 00	1 80	100	15 20
36	4.21		"	12		18 00	1 80	100	15 20
37	3.96		"	13		18 15	1 95	100	15 20
38	4.06		"	15		18 45	2 25	100	15 20
39	4.06		"	29		20 55	4 35	100	15 20
39+11	3.73								
40	3.89		"	28		20 40	4 20	100	15 20
41	4.14		"	30		20 70	4 50	100	15 20
42	3.91		"	30		20 70	4 50	100	15 20
43	3.50		"	27		20 25	4 05	100	15 20
44	5.21		"	33		21 15	4 95	100	15 20
45	4.66		"	37		21 75	5 55	100	15 20
46	4.71		"	36		21 60	5 40	100	15 20
B.M.	6.16	Walnut tree 50 ft S.W. of 46.							
46+90	4.33								
47	3.66			31		20 85	4 65	100	15 20
48	4.53			31		20 85	4 65	100	15 20

# PROFILE PAPER.

No. of Station.	Depth of Cut.	Width on Top.	Width in Bottom.	No. of Cubic Yards to be Removed.	Price per Cubic Yard.	Total Cost per Station.	Cost of Excavation	Cost of Laying & Filling in	Cost of tile set
48+67	4.37		24in	22	152	14 35	330	100	10 03
49	3.13	7.76	18in	12		1 80	180		
49+60	3.01	7.52							
B.M.	3.10 x Rock East side 15' E of 49+60								
50	3.07	7.64	18in	53		7 95	795		
51	2.84	7.18	"	49		7 15	715		
52	2.38	6.26	"	39		5 88	715		
52+60	2.40	6.30	"						
53	1.65	3.30	"	8		4 20	420		
53+80	2.03	5.60	"						
54	1.97	5.44	"	26		3 90	390		
55	.43	2.36	"	12		1 80	180		
55+65	2.75	7.00	"	12		1 80	180		
For Connection of Armo to Main Ditch & Covering						\$10 00			
" Stone Wall 2' x 6' x 15' of sewer tile						26 00			
The open ditch to 18 in wide in bottom with side slopes 1 to 1 or 45°									
Grand total.						\$1050 00			
Amount Sold for						\$1025 00			



We, the Viewers, would further report that in our opinion it would be impracticable to allot said ditch for construction for the reason that there are a great many small tracts of land assessed and that the work can be quicker and better done if it were sold in one contract.

Therefore, we recommend that said ditch be sold by the Auditor of the County as provided by law in such cases.

Respectfully submitted,

Re. VIEWERS.

*P. H. Bises*  
*John H. Berger*

Subscribed and sworn to before me this the 1st day of November,  
1900.

*Samuel Sheppard*